

THE MODULI SPACE OF FLAT G -BUNDLES ON A COMPACT HYPER-KÄHLER MANIFOLD

MOHAMMED ABOUZAIID AND MITYA BOYARCHENKO

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let (X, g) be a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold, and let G be a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We study the moduli space of flat G -bundles on X , which can be identified with the quotient

$$\mathrm{Loc}_G(X) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)/G,$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)$ denotes the space of all group homomorphisms from the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ into G , and G acts on this space by conjugation. Let $\phi : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism, and let $[\phi]$ denote the corresponding point of $\mathrm{Loc}_G(X)$. Note that ϕ defines a $\pi_1(X)$ -module structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ via the adjoint representation of G . Let us denote this $\pi_1(X)$ -module by $\mathfrak{g}_\phi$, and let E_ϕ denote the associated flat vector bundle on X . It is well known (see, e.g., [Hi]) that if $[\phi]$ is a smooth point of $\mathrm{Loc}_G(X)$, then there is a natural identification of the tangent space

$$T_{[\phi]} \mathrm{Loc}_G(X) \cong H_{DR}^1(X, E_\phi). \quad (1.1)$$

The space on the right is the first de Rham cohomology of X with coefficients in the flat bundle E_ϕ .

Let $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed positive definite G -invariant inner product, which always exists since G is compact. It defines a positive definite metric on E_ϕ which is compatible with the flat connection. Thus we have a notion of a *harmonic* form on X with coefficients in E_ϕ ([De], Chapter VI, §3.3), and Hodge theory gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \cong H_{DR}^1(X, E_\phi), \quad (1.2)$$

where the space on the left is the space of harmonic 1-forms on X with coefficients in E_ϕ . (Cf. [De], Theorem VI.3.17. That result is stated for flat *Hermitian* vector bundles; however, the proof works just as well in our situation.) Let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$$

denote the obvious pairing obtained by combining the metric on Ω_X^1 induced by g and the metric on E_ϕ induced by B . It allows us to define an L^2 inner product on $\mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi)$ by

$$(s, t) \longmapsto \int_X \langle s, t \rangle \cdot \mathrm{vol}_g,$$

Authors' address: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637
E-mail (M.A.): mabouzai@math.uchicago.edu *E-mail (M.B.):* mitya@math.uchicago.edu
 The research of M.B. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0401164.

where vol_g denotes the volume form associated to the metric g . The corresponding metric on (the smooth locus of) $\text{Loc}_G(X)$ induced by the identifications (1.1) and (1.2) is called the L^2 metric on the moduli space of flat G -bundles on X .

1.2. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *If X is hyper-Kähler, then the L^2 metric on the smooth locus of the moduli space of flat G -bundles on X is a hyper-Kähler metric.*

This result has been known before in the case $\dim X = 4$. See, e.g., §7 of [Hi], where it is proved using the hyper-Kähler reduction technique. If $\dim X > 4$, it is not known to us how to exhibit $\text{Loc}_G(X)$ as the hyper-Kähler reduction of another hyper-Kähler manifold. Thus we have to use a different approach, which was suggested by Karshon's work [Ka]. Using her results we define three symplectic forms on the smooth locus $\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$ of $\text{Loc}_G(X)$ which are compatible with the L^2 metric, such that the associated complex structures produce the desired hyper-Kähler structure on $\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$. The details of the proof are presented in Section 2.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** We are greatly indebted to Victor Ginzburg for drawing our attention to the paper [Ka], and for making helpful comments about our paper. We would also like to thank Jean-Pierre Demailly and Carlos Simpson for answering our questions about Hodge theory.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

2.1. Let us define a *pseudo-hyper-Kähler* manifold to be a manifold X equipped with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g and an action of the algebra of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ on the tangent bundle TX which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g . Then X is hyper-Kähler if and only if g is positive definite. The basic theory of hyper-Kähler manifolds does not use the positive definiteness condition; in particular, if X is a pseudo-hyper-Kähler manifold, then every imaginary unit quaternion $I \in \mathbb{H}$ gives rise to an integrable almost complex structure on X and a real symplectic form ω_I defined by $\omega_I(v, w) = g(Iv, w)$ for all tangent vectors $v, w \in TX$.

We begin with the following result, which is undoubtedly well known to the experts.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a real manifold equipped with three symplectic forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$.*

(a) *Assume that $\omega_{I,J,K}$, when viewed as isomorphisms $TX \rightarrow T^*X$, satisfy the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_I^{-1} \circ \omega_J &= -\omega_J^{-1} \circ \omega_I, \\ \omega_J^{-1} \circ \omega_K &= -\omega_K^{-1} \circ \omega_J, \\ \omega_K^{-1} \circ \omega_I &= -\omega_I^{-1} \circ \omega_K.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

*Then the isomorphism $g := \omega_I \circ \omega_J^{-1} \circ \omega_K : TX \rightarrow T^*X$ corresponds to a (possibly indefinite) nondegenerate metric on TX , and X is pseudo-hyper-Kähler with respect to this metric.*

(b) *Consequently, if the following condition holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{the isomorphism } g &= \omega_I \circ \omega_J^{-1} \circ \omega_K : TX \longrightarrow T^*X \\ &\text{defines a positive definite metric on } X,\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

then X is hyper-Kähler .

Proof. It clearly suffices to prove (a). For any morphism of vector bundles $A : TX \rightarrow T^*X$, we will denote by $A^* : T^*X \rightarrow TX$ the adjoint map. Using the relations $\omega_{I,J,K}^* = -\omega_{I,J,K}$ and (2.1), it is easy to check that $g^* = g$, whence g defines a symmetric bilinear form on TX , which is obviously nondegenerate. Next define $I, J, K : TX \rightarrow TX$ by $\omega_I(v, w) = g(Iv, w)$, etc. Again, using (2.1), it is straightforward to check that these automorphisms satisfy the quaternionic relations

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1. \quad (2.3)$$

Finally, it is well known that if a Riemannian manifold is equipped with three almost complex structures I, J, K satisfying (2.3), then the manifold is hyper-Kähler if and only if the associated forms $\omega_{I,J,K}$ are closed. For a proof, see, e.g., [Na], Lemma 3.37. This result does not use the positive definiteness assumption, thus it also applies in our situation, since $\omega_{I,J,K}$ are closed by assumption. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

2.2. We now recall one of the main results of [Ka]. If X is a compact connected Kähler manifold and G is as in Section 1, then for every $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)$, we have a well defined map

$$L : \mathcal{H}^*(X, E_\phi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{*+2}(X, E_\phi), \quad \tau \mapsto \omega \wedge \tau,$$

where ω is the Kähler form. The hard Lefschetz theorem holds in this situation and implies in particular that if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$, then the map

$$L^{n-1} : \mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(X, E_\phi)$$

is an isomorphism. (Both the fact that L is well defined, i.e., takes harmonic forms to harmonic forms, and the fact that the last map is an isomorphism, follow at once from the corresponding statements for harmonic forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$ and the observation that a differential form on X with coefficients in E_ϕ can be written locally as $\tau = \sum \tau_j \otimes e_j$, where $\{e_j\}$ is a flat local orthonormal frame for E_ϕ . Then τ is harmonic if and only if the coefficients τ_j are harmonic forms on X . This follows trivially from the definitions of the Laplace operators on Ω_X^* and $\Omega_X^* \otimes E_\phi$, see [De], Chapter VI, §3.3.)

With this notation, we have

Proposition 2.2 ([Ka], Theorem 5). *The bilinear forms ϖ_ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \cong T_{[\phi]} \text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$ defined by*

$$\varpi_\phi(\tau, \eta) = \int_X \tau \wedge L^{n-1}(\eta)$$

are nondegenerate, skew-symmetric, and together form a closed 2-form on $\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$.

2.3. Now let X be a compact connected hyper-Kähler manifold with underlying Riemannian metric g . We choose three particular complex structures I, J, K satisfying the quaternionic relations (2.3). They give rise to the three corresponding Kähler forms $\omega_{I,J,K}$. If $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)$, we let

$$L_I, L_J, L_K : \mathcal{H}^*(X, E_\phi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{*+2}(X, E_\phi)$$

denote the corresponding operators on the cohomology of X with coefficients in E_ϕ . As in Proposition 2.2, they induce three nondegenerate forms

$$\varpi_{I,\phi}, \varpi_{J,\phi}, \varpi_{K,\phi} : \mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X, E_\phi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which define three 2-forms $\varpi_{I,J,K}$ on $\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$ that are closed by Karshon's result. It is now obvious that our Theorem 1.1 follows from the following more precise

Proposition 2.3. *The three symplectic forms $\varpi_{I,J,K}$ on $\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$ satisfy the relations (2.1). Moreover, the isomorphism $\varpi_I \circ \varpi_J^{-1} \circ \varpi_K : T\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X) \rightarrow T^*\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$ corresponds to the L^2 metric on $\text{Loc}_G^\circ(X)$.*

2.4. The proof of Proposition 2.3 reduces immediately to linear algebra, since it only involves statements about harmonic forms on X . Thus, for simplicity, we will change our setup and notation as follows. Let V be a hyper-Kähler vector space, i.e., a finite dimensional real vector space equipped with a positive definite inner product g and three endomorphisms I, J, K satisfying (2.3). The symplectic forms $\omega_{I,J,K}$ and the operators $L_{I,J,K} : \bigwedge^* V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{*+2} V^*$ are defined in the same way as before. It is clear that in the proof of Proposition 2.3, the bundle E_ϕ can be ignored completely, since all the relations that we need to check hold trivially “in the direction of E_ϕ .” Now $\varpi_{I,J,K}$ become symplectic forms on the dual space V^* , and it is straightforward to check that the relations (2.1) in this situation reduce to

$$(L_I^{n-1})^{-1} \circ L_J^{n-1} = -(L_J^{n-1})^{-1} \circ L_I^{n-1}, \quad \text{etc.}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$. (Of course, by symmetry, it suffices to check the first equation only.) We will show that, in fact, the automorphism $(L_I^{n-1})^{-1} \circ L_J^{n-1}$ of V^* coincides with $K^* : V^* \rightarrow V^*$, the adjoint of the automorphism K . By symmetry, it will follow that $(L_J^{n-1})^{-1} \circ L_I^{n-1} = -K^*$, which will imply (2.4). Moreover, these equations will also imply that $\varpi_I \circ \varpi_J^{-1} \circ \varpi_K : V^* \rightarrow V^{**}$ corresponds to the dual metric g^* on V^* , and the proof of Proposition 2.3 will be complete.

Let $\star : \bigwedge^* V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2n-*} V^*$ denote the Hodge star operator, see [De], Chapter VI, §3.1. We observe that $\star^{-1} \circ L_I^{n-1} = n! \cdot I^*$ on V^* . Indeed, let $v, w \in V^*$. Then, by definition,

$$g^*(v, \star^{-1} L_I^{n-1} w) \cdot \text{vol}_g = v \wedge L_I^{n-1} w = \omega_I^{n-1} \wedge v \wedge w = \omega_I(v^\flat, w^\flat) \cdot \omega_I^n,$$

where $v^\flat \in V$ corresponds to v under the isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^*$ defined by g . But it is well known that $\omega_I^n = n! \cdot \text{vol}_g$; on the other hand,

$$\omega_I(v^\flat, w^\flat) = g(Iv^\flat, w^\flat) = g^*(v, I^* w).$$

This shows that $\star^{-1} \circ L_I^{n-1} = n! \cdot I^*$, and similarly $\star^{-1} \circ L_J^{n-1} = n! \cdot J^*$, as endomorphisms of V^* . Finally, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_I^{n-1})^{-1} \circ L_J^{n-1} &= (\star^{-1} \circ L_I^{n-1})^{-1} \circ \star^{-1} \circ L_J^{n-1} \\ &= (n! \cdot I^*)^{-1} \circ (n! \cdot J^*) \\ &= (I^*)^{-1} \circ J^* = (J \circ I^{-1})^* = K^*, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of Proposition 2.3.

REFERENCES

- [De] J.-P. Demailly, “Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry.” Available from the author’s homepage: <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.ps.gz>
- [Hi] N.J. Hitchin, *The geometry and topology of moduli spaces*, in: “Global geometry and mathematical physics (Montecatini Terme, 1988),” pp. 1–48, Lect. Notes in Math. **1451**, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [Ka] Y. Karshon, *An algebraic proof for the symplectic structure of moduli space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), no. 3, 591–605.
- [Na] H. Nakajima, “Lectures on Hilbert Schemes of Points on Surfaces.” University Lecture Series **18**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.